

Review of Arkansas's 2020
Section 303(d) Waterbody List

I. Introduction

The Environmental Protection Agency Region 6 (EPA) received the State of Arkansas's 2020 Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) list of impaired waters from the Arkansas Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ) on June 2, 2022. The EPA also received Arkansas DEQ's 2020 Water Quality Integrated Report with the same submittal. Based on the EPA's review of the State's CWA Section 303(d) water body list (list) and available data, the EPA is partially approving DEQ's 2020 list with further action pending and partially disapproving DEQ's 2020 list with respect to 7 waterbody/parameter pairs, which EPA is adding to the 2020 list. The purpose of this review document is to describe the rationale for the EPA's decisions.

The EPA has issued guidance for integrating the development and submission of Section 305(b) water quality reports and Section 303(d) lists of impaired waters. EPA did not issue specific guidance for developing the 2020 Section 303(d) list. Previous guidance documents recommend that states develop an Integrated Report of the quality of waters by placing all waters into one of five assessment categories. By following this guidance, Category 5 of the Integrated Report is the State's Section 303(d) list. The EPA's action in review of the State's Integrated Reports is limited to approval or disapproval of Category 5 waterbodies, which comprise the State's Section 303(d) lists.

The EPA reviewed the assessment methodology used by the State in developing the Section 303(d) list and the State's description of the data and information considered. The EPA's review of Arkansas DEQ's 2020 Section 303(d) list is based on the EPA's analysis of whether the State satisfied the regulatory requirements to assemble and evaluate existing and readily available water quality-related data and information and reasonably identified waters required to be listed.

For all CWA purposes, the 2020 Section 303(d) list the EPA is approving is comprised of 396 waterbody/parameter combinations and constitutes the applicable list of impaired waters in the State of Arkansas. The EPA is adding 7 more waterbody/parameter pairs that meet the listing requirements to the 2020 303(d) list.

II. Statutory and Regulatory Background

A. Identification of Water Quality Limited Segments (WQLSs) for Inclusion on Section 303(d) list

Section 303(d)(1) of the CWA directs a state to identify those waters within its jurisdiction for which effluent limitations required by Section 301(b)(1)(A) and (B) are not stringent enough to implement any applicable water quality standard,

and to establish a priority ranking for such waters, taking into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters. The Section 303(d) listing requirement applies to waters impaired by point and/or nonpoint sources, pursuant to the EPA's long-standing interpretation of Section 303(d).

The EPA regulations implementing Section 303(d) require states to identify water quality limited segments (WQLSs) that need TMDLs (See 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)). WQLSs are defined in regulation as segments “where it is known that water quality does not meet applicable water quality standards, and/or is not expected to meet applicable water quality standards, even after the application of the technology-based effluent limitations required by sections 301(b) and 306 of the Act” (40 C.F.R. § 130.2(j)). Thus, states do not need to list waters where the following controls are adequate to implement applicable standards: (1) technology-based effluent limitations required by the CWA; (2) more stringent effluent limitations required by state or local authority; and (3) other pollution control requirements required by state, local, or federal authority. 40 C.F.R. §130.7(b)(1).

B. Existing and Readily Available Water Quality Related Data and Information

In developing Section 303(d) lists, states are required to assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, including, at a minimum, existing and readily available data and information about the following categories of waters: (1) waters identified as not meeting designated uses, or as threatened, in the State's most recent CWA Section 305(b) report; (2) waters for which dilution calculations or predictive modeling indicate nonattainment of applicable standards; (3) waters for which water quality problems have been reported by governmental agencies, members of the public, or academic institutions; and (4) waters identified as impaired or threatened in any Section 319 nonpoint assessment submitted to the EPA (40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5)). In addition to these minimum categories, states are required to assemble and evaluate any other data and information that is existing and readily available.

The EPA's 1991 Guidance for Water Quality-Based Decisions describes categories of water quality-related data and information that may be existing and readily available (see Guidance for Water Quality- Based Decisions: The TMDL Process, EPA Office of Water, April 1991). While states are required to evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, states may, if they provide a reasonable technical rationale, decide not to rely on particular data or information in determining whether to list particular waters.

In addition to requiring states to assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, the EPA regulations at 40 C.F.R. §130.7(b)(6) require states to include, as part of the submission to the

EPA, documentation to support decisions to list or not list waters. Such documentation needs to include, at a minimum, the following information: (1) a description of the methodology used to develop the list; (2) a description of the data and information used to identify waters; (3) a rationale for any decision not to use any existing and readily available data and information 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5), and (4) any other reasonable information requested by the Region.

C. Priority Ranking

EPA regulations codify and interpret the requirement in Section 303(d)(1)(A) of the CWA that states establish a priority ranking for listed waters. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(4) require states to prioritize waters on the Section 303(d) lists for TMDL development and identify those WQLSs targeted for TMDL development in the next two years. In prioritizing and targeting waters, states must, at a minimum, take into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters (CWA Section 303(d)(1)(A)). States may consider other factors relevant to prioritizing waters for TMDL development, including immediate programmatic needs such as wasteload allocations for permits, vulnerability of particular waters as aquatic habitats, recreational, economic, and aesthetic importance of particular waters, degree of public interest and support, and state or national policies and priorities (see 57 Fed. Reg. 33040, 33045 (July 24, 1992), and the EPA's 1991 Guidance).

D. Applicable Water Quality Standards

For purposes of identifying waters for the Section 303(d) list, the terms “water quality standard applicable to such waters” and “applicable water quality standards” refer to those water quality standards established under Section 303 of the Act.

E. Public Participation

The process for identifying WQLSs requires the involvement of the general public and is commonly referred to as the public participation process. The public participation process is intended to foster public input and awareness and open processes of government decision making. The state’s public participation process is to be clearly described in the state continuing planning process (See 40 CFR § 130.7(a)).

III. Analysis of Arkansas's Submission

A. Background

EPA reviewed the State’s description of data and information that it assembled and evaluated, the methodology used to identify waters, the State’s 2020 Section 303(d) list, and the responsiveness summary. The State’s 2020 assessment

methodology was available on the Arkansas DEQ's website in November 2020 and was included with the State's Integrated Report submission. EPA has concluded that the waters the State included in the Section 303(d) list were placed on the list in compliance with Section 303(d) of the CWA and 40 C.F.R. § 130.7. EPA's review is based on an analysis of whether the State satisfied the applicable regulatory requirements to assemble and evaluate existing and readily available water quality-related data and information and reasonably identified waters required to be listed. Arkansas DEQ assembled and evaluated data and information pertaining to the categories under 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5), and the 396 WQLSs proposed by Arkansas DEQ are appropriately listed per 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(1).

The State's 2020 Integrated Report was made available to the EPA Region 6 electronically on June 2, 2022 through ATTAINS and included the submittal letter. The Integrated Report from Arkansas DEQ consisted of the following portions that are necessary for the Section 303(d) waterbody list:

- **Waterbodies and corresponding pollutants that make up the State's Section 303(d) list**
- **Prioritization of waterbodies for TMDL development**
- **Identification of waters targeted for TMDL development over the next biennium**

The EPA's approval of Arkansas DEQ's 2020 Section 303(d) list extends only to the items listed in Table 2.

For the 2018 cycle, the EPA took no action and requested additional information regarding the issues described below with the expectation that these issues would be reconsidered or resolved in the near term. The EPA evaluated available data for these water bodies for the 2020 cycle and found the following.

- **Cox Creek Lake, Lake Greenlee, Lake Poinsette:** In the 2018 cycle, the EPA requested additional information from ADEQ regarding attainment status of applicable WQS for the three lakes. No new data have been identified for these three lakes for the 2020 cycle. EPA notes that the State is currently sampling Cox Creek Lake and Lake Greenlee, and data will be available for assessment in the 2022 cycle. Lake Poinsette is currently scheduled for a drawdown for maintenance, but the State plans to sample the waterbody after maintenance is complete. EPA expects attainment status to be resolved in the near term, and so continues to take no action and request that information of the State.
- **Lake Ouachita:** In the 2018 cycle, the EPA requested clarification from ADEQ regarding the appropriate application of water quality standards and assessment methodology to determine attainment status for mercury. No new data have been identified for these assessment units; however, several

Arkansas state agencies are assembling a Mercury Taskforce. State agencies responsible for collecting fish, analyzing fish tissue, and issuing fish consumption advisories will participate in the Taskforce. Meetings will be held to discuss advisories and determine future activities. EPA continues to take no action and requests additional information regarding the appropriate application of water quality standards and assessment methodology to assess Lake Ouachita for mercury.

- South Fork Spring River: In the 2018 cycle, the EPA requested clarification from ADEQ regarding the appropriate application of water quality standards and assessment methodology to determine attainment status for dissolved oxygen. For the 2020 cycle, this assessment unit has been identified as impaired for critical season dissolved oxygen.

Assessment Unit identifiers and planning segments for the specific waterbodies identified above are identified in Table 3 along with a summary of findings from the 2018 and 2020 cycles.

EPA is taking no action at this time and requesting an update regarding progress made towards the development and application of water quality standards on the State's waterbodies subject to minerals Ecoregional Reference values. Arkansas DEQ is working with EPA to move forward with revising mineral criteria throughout the State. In addition, EPA is taking no action on waters subject to the site-specific minerals criteria that are not on the list based on those criteria. EPA is seeking additional information from DEQ to ensure that DEQ's assessment of these waters is reasonable, scientifically sound, and not inconsistent with the Federally-approved criteria.

B. Identification of Waters and Existing and Readily Available Water Quality-Related Data and Information

The EPA has reviewed Arkansas DEQ's description of the data and information that it assembled and evaluated for identifying waters on the Section 303(d) list. The EPA concludes that the State partially assembled and evaluated all existing and readily available data and information, including data and information relating to the categories of waters specified in 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5) and partially identified and listed WQLSs as required by 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(1). In particular, the State relied on information from the 2020 Section 305(b) water quality assessments; assessments performed under the CWA Section 319 non-point source program; and data and information obtained through an extensive process to solicit information from state, federal and citizen sources. The State evaluated data and information about the following categories of waters: (1) waters identified as partially meeting or not meeting designated uses, or as threatened, in the State's most recent § 305(b) report; (2) waters for which dilution calculations or predictive modeling indicate non-attainment of applicable standards; (3) waters for which water quality problems have been reported by

governmental agencies, members of the public, or academic institutions; and (4) waters identified as impaired or threatened in any § 319 non-point assessments submitted to the EPA (See 40 CFR § 130.7(b)(5)).

Based upon this review, the EPA concludes that with regards to the waters identified in the State's 2020 Section 303(d) list, the State's list meets the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5) regarding the assembly and evaluation of all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, as well as the other requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b).

C. Waters Removed from the Section 303(d) List

When a state includes a waterbody/parameter combination on the 303(d) list, it may conclude in a subsequent listing cycle that the waterbody/parameter combination no longer belongs on the 303(d) list. A waterbody/parameter combination need not be included on the 303(d) list when a TMDL is no longer required. The following non-exclusive list of justifications have been identified as reasons for the removal of a waterbody/parameter combination from a state's 303(d) list:

- The state has prepared, and the EPA has approved a TMDL for the listed water.
- The state has prepared, and the EPA has accepted a Category 4B Alternative Plan for the listed water (see 40 CFR 130.7(b)(1)(iii)).
- The original basis for listing the water was incorrect.
- New data or information indicates that the applicable water quality standard for the water is being met and the designated uses are fully supported.
- The state has adopted, and the EPA has approved a site-specific water quality standard for the water, and the new water quality standard is being met.

The State removed 64 waterbody/parameter combinations based on new data and information indicating that the applicable water quality standard for the water is now being met. Four waterbody/parameter combinations were removed due to the original basis for listing the water being incorrect. Arkansas DEQ submitted two 4B Plans that encompass an additional 20 waterbody/parameter combinations. The EPA reviewed these plans and determined the plans meet all applicable requirements. The waterbody/parameter combinations included in these EPA-accepted 4B Plans and were removed from Category 5.

In reviewing the State's 2020 Section 303(d) waterbody list, the EPA carefully considered Arkansas DEQ's decision to remove certain waterbody/parameter combinations that were included on the State's 2018 303(d) list, the justification for those removals, and the methodology used in making those decisions. The EPA concludes that the removal decisions identified in the Integrated Report are reasonable and based on all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information.

D. Priority Ranking and Development of TMDLS for Listed Waters and Pollutants

Pursuant to the listing methodologies set out in the State's submittals, Arkansas DEQ prioritized WQLSs for TMDL development into three Priority Areas:

1. High priority waters pose the highest risk of affecting public health or welfare or have a substantial impact to aquatic life.
2. Medium priority waters pose moderate risk to public health, welfare or to aquatic life.
3. Low priority waters pose the lowest risk to public health of welfare and have a secondary impact to aquatic life.

The EPA reviewed the State's priority ranking of listed waters for TMDL development and concluded that the State took into account the severity of pollution and the uses to be made of such waters, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(4), as well as other relevant factors such as imminent human health problems or local support for water quality improvement. In addition, the EPA concluded that the State identified WQLSs targeted for TMDL development in the next two years, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(4).

E. Basis for Decision to Disapprove and Add Waters to the Arkansas 2020 Section 303(d) List

The EPA is partially disapproving DEQ's 2020 list with respect to seven waterbody/parameter pairs, which EPA is adding to the list. These seven waterbody/parameter pairs are in the Illinois River Watershed and are not attaining the State's narrative nutrient criteria.

EPA has concluded that seven waterbody/parameter combinations located on Osage Creek, Spring Creek, and the Illinois River are not attaining the State's narrative nutrient criteria, which states "Materials stimulating algal growth shall not be present in concentrations sufficient to cause objectionable algal densities or other nuisance aquatic vegetation or otherwise impair any designated use of the waterbody." EPA's conclusion is based on an independent evaluation of available data and information submitted by the State and other reports.

EPA's evaluation focuses on multiple lines of evidence, consistent with the following language in the WQS: "Because nutrient water column concentrations do not always correlate directly with stream impairments, impairments will be assessed by a combination of factors such as water clarity, periphyton or phytoplankton production, dissolved oxygen values, dissolved oxygen saturation, diurnal dissolved oxygen fluctuations, pH values, aquatic-life community structure and possibly others." The multiple lines of evidence approach that EPA used includes both data about nutrient (total phosphorus) concentrations in the seven assessment units, as well as information about periphyton growth and aquatic life community structure.

1. Total Phosphorus Analysis

The EPA conducted an independent evaluation of the total phosphorus data collected from these waters since 2009. Because the State's narrative nutrient criteria do not specify concentrations that would impair designated uses, a threshold magnitude concentration of 0.037 mg/L was applied to be protective of the aquatic life designated use. This criterion magnitude is currently applicable in some Oklahoma waters that are in the same ecoregion as the AR segments. The appropriateness of this magnitude was confirmed in the Joint Study,¹ based on empirical stressor-response relationships between total phosphorus and response variables related to nuisance levels of algal related to attainment of Oklahoma's Scenic River designated use. The EPA calculated the geometric mean for the entire date range of available data at each site and the six-month rolling averages (maxima and minima) of total phosphorus concentrations from 20 monitoring locations for comparison against the magnitude concentration of 0.037 mg/L. The six-month duration was deemed to be appropriate for this application because it incorporates year-round data, and is not overly sensitive to day-to-day variations in nutrient concentrations (due to rain events etc.). Zero of the six-month rolling averages were below the 0.037 mg/L magnitude, indicating elevated TP concentrations in each of the seven segments (See Table 1).

Table 1. Results of EPA's independent evaluation of the total phosphorus data from Illinois River, Osage Creek, and Spring Creek from 2009 – 2018.

| Name | AUID | Date Range of available data | Count | Geometric Mean | 6-Month Rolling Average Maximum | 6-Month Rolling Average Minimum | Count less than 0.037 mg/L |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Illinois River | AR_11110103_020 | 2009-2018 | 221 | 0.0745 | 0.2374 | 0.0483 | 22 |
| | AR_11110103_024 | 2009-2018 | 244 | 0.0721 | 0.2711 | 0.0484 | 29 |
| Osage Creek | AR_11110103_730 | 2009-2018 | 93 | 0.0864 | 0.1260 | 0.0695 | 1 |
| | AR_11110103_830 | 2009-2013 | 72 | 0.0965 | 0.1572 | 0.0678 | 1 |
| | AR_11110103_030 | 2014-2018 | 35 | 0.1052 | 0.1580 | 0.0932 | 0 |
| Spring Creek | AR_11110103_930 | 2011-2018 | 142 | 0.0900 | 0.2068 | 0.0718 | 7 |
| Spring Creek | AR_11110103_931 | 2009-2018 | 152 | 0.1638 | 0.4700 | 0.1298 | 0 |

2. Periphyton Growth

EPA evaluated periphyton results from the McGoodwin, Williams and Yates (MWY) study,² which used a passive diffusion periphytometer (PDP) method to measure the response of periphyton to nutrient enrichment at sites along Osage Creek and Spring Creek, which coincide with some of the segments assessed in the above section. Results of that study suggest that nutrients were not limiting periphyton growth at any site (in other words, nutrient

¹ King, RS. 2016. Oklahoma-Arkansas Scenic Rivers Joint Phosphorus Study: Final Report. 62 p.

² McGoodwin, Williams, and Yates, Inc. 2009. Water Quality and Ecological Assessment of Osage and Spring Creeks in the Illinois River Basin, Arkansas: Fayetteville, Arkansas, McGoodwin, Williams, and Yates, Inc. 106 p.

concentrations were relatively high). The nutrient concentrations measured during the timeframe of the MWY study (2007 – 2009) were of similar magnitude to those measured in the EPA’s analysis above. During the timeframe of the MWY study, the average TP concentrations at Osage Creek sites ranged from 0.042 mg/L to 0.141 mg/L and the average TP concentrations at Spring Creek sites ranged from 0.070 mg/L to 0.249 mg/L.

3. Linking the Aquatic life community structure to nutrients

A U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)³ study of wadeable Ozark Highlands ecoregion streams demonstrated that nutrient enrichment is correlated with low algal assemblage index scores. Specifically, the USGS study reports that biotic metric scores (i.e., Index of Biotic Integrity) were inversely related to nutrients (e.g., total phosphorus) and were generally lowest when total phosphorus concentrations were higher than 0.018 mg/L, which is below all of the six-month rolling averages captured in EPA’s analysis for Illinois River, Spring Creek, and Osage Creek.

Given EPA’s analysis of total phosphorus data indicating elevated total phosphorus concentrations across the Illinois River, Spring Creek, and Osage Creek segments, combined with two other studies suggesting that total phosphorus is not a limiting nutrient at sites on Spring Creek and Osage Creek, and that biotic index scores were generally lowest when TP concentrations were above 0.018 mg/L in this ecoregion, EPA has determined that the conditions in seven segments listed above are consistent with excess nutrients. Thus, EPA has determined that the narrative criterion for nutrients is not being met.

IV. Final Action on Arkansas DEQ’s 2020 Section 303(d) List Submittal

After careful review of Arkansas DEQ’s final Section 303(d) list submittal package, the EPA has determined that Arkansas DEQ’s 2020 Section 303(d) list partially meets the requirements of Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the EPA’s implementing regulations with regard to all of the waterbody/parameter combinations listed by the State. As a result, the EPA is partially approving DEQ’s 2020 list with further action pending and partially disapproving DEQ’s 2020 list with regard to 7 waterbody/parameter pairs, which EPA is adding to the 2020 list.

V. References

The following list includes documents that were used directly or indirectly as a basis for the EPA’s review and action on the State’s Section 303(d) list. This list is not meant to be an exhaustive list of all records, but to provide the primary documents the Region relied upon in making decisions to approve the State’s list.

Electronic data submittal of Arkansas’s 2020 (CWA) §303(d)/§305(b) Integrated List of Assessed Surface Waters, associated documents, and GIS data from Arkansas Division of

³ Justus, B.G. et al. 2010. A comparison of algal, macroinvertebrate, and fish assemblage indices for assessing low-level nutrient enrichment in wadeable Ozark streams. *Ecological Indicators* 10, 627-638. record

Environmental Quality to EPA's Assessment, Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Tracking and Implementation System (ATTAINS) database. June 2, 2022.

40 C.F.R. Part 130 Water Quality Planning and Management

40 C.F.R. Part 131 Water Quality Standards

July 29, 2005, Memorandum from Diane Regas, Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, US EPA to Water Division Directors transmitting EPA's "Guidance for 2006 Assessment, Listing and Reporting Requirements Pursuant to Sections 303(d), 305(b) and 314 of the Clean Water Act"

October 12, 2006, Memorandum from Diane Regas, Director, Office of Oceans, Wetlands, and Watersheds entitled *Information Concerning 2008 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

May 5, 2009, Memorandum from Suzanne Schwartz, Acting Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, entitled *Information Concerning 2010 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

March 21, 2011, Memorandum from Denise Keehner, Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, entitled *Information Concerning 2012 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

April 1991, "Guidance for Water Quality-Based Decisions: The TMDL Process," EPA 440/4-91-001.

August 8, 1997, Memorandum from Robert Perciasepe, Assistant Administrator for Water, US EPA, regarding "New Policies for Establishing and Implementing TMDLs."

September, 1997, Guidance from Office of Water, Headquarters, US EPA regarding "Guidelines for Preparation of the Comprehensive State Water Quality Assessments (305(b) Reports) and Electronic Updates" Supplement, EPA-841-B-97-002B.

August 23, 1999, Federal Register Notice. *Proposed Revisions to the Water Quality Management and Planning Regulations*, 64 FR 46012.

April 27, 2000, Federal Register Notice, *EPA Review and Approval of State and Tribal Water Quality Standards*, 65 FR 24641

Table 2. State of Arkansas's 2020 § 303(d) List

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 1A | AR_11140203_020 | Dorcheat Bayou | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1A | AR_11140203_022 | Dorcheat Bayou | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1A | AR_11140205_010 | Bodcau Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140106_001 | Red River | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140106_003 | Red River | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140106_005 | Red River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140106_025 | Red River | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140201_007 | Red River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140201_008 | Bois D'Arc Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140201_009 | Bois D'Arc Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140201_011 | Red River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1B | AR_11140302_003 | Days Creek | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_001 | Saline River | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_018 | Cossatot R. | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_019 | Cossatot R. | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_020 | Bushy Creek | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_024 | Rolling Fork | COPPER, DISSOLVED - ACUTE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_024 | Rolling Fork | COPPER, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_025 | Bear Creek | COPPER, DISSOLVED | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_029 | Robinson Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_029 | Robinson Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_4071 | Gillham Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_719 | Short Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_819 | Short Creek | PH | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_921 | Caney Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_929 | Cross Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1C | AR_11140109_929 | Cross Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 1D | AR_11140108_012 | Sixmile Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 1D | AR_11140108_014 | Mountain Fork | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 1D | AR_11140108_019 | Mill Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1D | AR_11140108_907 | Barren Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 1D | AR_11140108_907 | Barren Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 1D | AR_11140108_907 | Barren Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2A | AR_08050002_003 | Macon Bayou | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2A | AR_08050002_006 | Macon Bayou | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_001 | Bayou Bartholomew | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_001 | Bayou Bartholomew | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_006 | Bayou Bartholomew | LEAD, DISSOLVED | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_006 | Bayou Bartholomew | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_013 | Bayou Bartholomew | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_901 | Beahouse Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_902 | Harding Creek | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_905 | Cross Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_907 | Chemin-A-Haut Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_908 | Overflow Creek | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_908 | Overflow Creek | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_909 | Main Street Ditch | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_909 | Main Street Ditch | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_909 | Main Street Ditch | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_910 | Bayou Imbeau | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_910 | Bayou Imbeau | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_910 | Bayou Imbeau | PATHOGENS | 5 | PC | Concur |
| 2B | AR_08040205_911 | Able's Creek | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_011 | N. Fork Saline | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_014 | Alum Fork | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_014 | Alum Fork | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 2C | AR_08040203_018 | Alum Fork | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_019 | M. Fork Saline | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_020 | S. Fork Saline | BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES BIOASSESSMENTS | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_021 | Cedar Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_022 | S. Fork Saline | FISH BIOASSESSMENTS | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_022 | S. Fork Saline | BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES BIOASSESSMENTS | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_4100 | Winona Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_4101 | Winona Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_4110 | Cox Creek Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_611 | North Fork Saline River | PH | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_611 | North Fork Saline River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_904 | Big Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_904 | Big Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_922 | Lockett Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040203_922 | Lockett Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040204_002 | Saline River | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040204_002 | Saline River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040204_002 | Saline River | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 2C | AR_08040204_005 | Big Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_001 | Moro Creek | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_006 | Smackover Cr. | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_006 | Smackover Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_006 | Smackover Cr. | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_006 | Smackover Cr. | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_007 | Smackover Cr. | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_007 | Smackover Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 2D | AR_08040201_007 | Smackover Cr. | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_007 | Smackover Cr. | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_007 | Smackover Cr. | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_406 | Smackover Creek | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_406 | Smackover Creek | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_406 | Smackover Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_406 | Smackover Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_501 | Bryant Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_601 | Guice Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_606 | Elec Trib. | COPPER - ACUTE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_606 | Elec Trib. | COPPER - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_606 | Elec Trib. | NITROGEN, NITRATE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_606 | Elec Trib. | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_616 | ELCC Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_701 | Lloyd Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_726 | Unnamed Trib to Haynes Creek (ECC Creek) | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_801 | Whitewater Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_801 | Whitewater Creek | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_803 | Champagnolle creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_803 | Champagnolle creek | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_806 | Salt Cr. | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_901 | Moro Creek | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_901 | Moro Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_905 | E. Two Bayou | PATHOGENS | 5 | PC | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_905 | E. Two Bayou | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_905 | E. Two Bayou | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040201_910 | Jug Creek | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_002 | Ouachita River | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_003 | Ouachita River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_003 | Ouachita River | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 2D | AR_08040202_004 | Ouachita River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_006 | Bayou De L'Outre | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_006 | Bayou De L'Outre | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_006 | Bayou De L'Outre | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_007 | Bayou De L'Outre | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_007 | Bayou De L'Outre | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_007 | Bayou De L'Outre | ZINC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_007 | Bayou De L'Outre | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_008 | Bayou De L'Outre | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_008 | Bayou De L'Outre | ZINC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_008 | Bayou De L'Outre | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_008 | Bayou De L'Outre | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_008 | Bayou De L'Outre | SELENIUM | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_909 | Loutre Creek | SELENIUM | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_909 | Loutre Creek | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_909 | Loutre Creek | SULFATE | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 2D | AR_08040202_909 | Loutre Creek | CHLORIDE | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_015 | Big Cornie Cr. | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_015 | Big Cornie Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_015 | Big Cornie Cr. | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_015 | Big Cornie Cr. | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_015 | Big Cornie Cr. | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_716 | Little Cornie Bayou | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_816 | Little Cornie Bayou | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2E | AR_08040206_916 | Walker Branch | LEAD | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_032 | Fiddlers Cr. | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_032 | Fiddlers Cr. | FISH BIOASSESSMENTS | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_032 | Fiddlers Cr. | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_032 | Fiddlers Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 2F | AR_08040101_039 | Ouachita River | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_039 | Ouachita River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_043 | S.Fork Ouachita | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_048 | Prairie Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_501 | Gulpha Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_838 | Irons Fork Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_838 | Irons Fork Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_838 | Irons Fork Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_902 | Indian Springs Creek | SULFATE | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_902 | Indian Springs Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_902 | Indian Springs Creek | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | DW | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_907 | Stokes Creek | BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES BIOASSESSMENTS | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_929 | Irons Fork Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_929 | Irons Fork Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040101_929 | Irons Fork Creek | FISH BIOASSESSMENTS | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040102_023 | S. Fork Caddo | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040102_821 | Collier Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040102_976 | Cove Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2F | AR_08040102_976 | Cove Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2G | AR_08040103_002 | Terre Noire Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2G | AR_08040103_003 | Terre Noire Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2G | AR_08040103_023 | L. Missouri R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL+, ORW | Concur |
| 2G | AR_08040103_031 | Terre Rouge Creek | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 2G | AR_08040103_4030 | Greeson Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3A | AR_08020401_001 | Arkansas River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 3A | AR_08020401_001 | Arkansas River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3A | AR_08020401_003 | Wabbaseka Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_001 | Bayou Meto | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_003 | Bayou Meto | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_003 | Bayou Meto | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_006 | Bayou Two Prairie | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_007 | Bayou Meto | DIOXIN | 5 | FC | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_007 | Bayou Meto | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_007 | Bayou Meto | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_106 | Bayou Two Prairie | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_206 | Bayou Two Prairie | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_306 | Bayou Two Prairie | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_4010 | Pickthorne Lake | NUTRIENTS | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_4020 | Rogers Lake | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_806 | Bayou Two Prairie | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_807 | Bayou Meto | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_807 | Bayou Meto | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_907 | Bayou Meto | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3B | AR_08020402_907 | Bayou Meto | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_018 | Maumelle River | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_018 | Maumelle River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_024 | Fourche Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_024 | Fourche Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_4010 | Saracen Lake | POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS) | 5 | FC | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_724 | McHenry Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 3C | AR_11110207_724 | McHenry Creek | COPPER, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_822 | Fourche Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_822 | Fourche Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_822 | Fourche Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_824 | Brodie Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_824 | Brodie Creek | BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES BIOASSESSMENTS | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_912 | White Oak Bayou | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3C | AR_11110207_912 | White Oak Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3D | AR_11110205_002 | Cadron Cr., E. Fork | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3D | AR_11110205_016 | Cove Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_001 | Fourche LaFave | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_007 | Fourche LaFave River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_012 | Gafford Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_012 | Gafford Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_014 | FourcheLaFave, south | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_015 | Bear Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_514 | Negro Branch | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_514 | Negro Branch | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_808 | Turner Creek | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_808 | Turner Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_914 | Dry Fork Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3E | AR_11110206_914 | Dry Fork Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_011 | Point Remove | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_018 | Beardy Branch | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_018 | Beardy Branch | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_033 | Rocky Cypress | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 3F | AR_11110203_4020 | Driver Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_904 | Stone Dam Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_904 | Stone Dam Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_918 | Trimble Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_931 | Whig Creek | AMMONIA-NITROGEN - CHRONIC NO EARLY LIFE STAGE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_931 | Whig Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_931 | Whig Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3F | AR_11110203_931 | Whig Creek | AMMONIA-NITROGEN - CHRONIC EARLY LIFE STAGE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3G | AR_11110204_011 | Petit Jean R. | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3G | AR_11110204_4061 | Blue Mountain Lake | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3G | AR_11110204_4061 | Blue Mountain Lake | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3G | AR_11110204_4061 | Blue Mountain Lake | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110104_006 | Lee Creek | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110104_4020 | Lee Creek Reservoir | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110201_006 | Mulberry River | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110201_007 | Mulberry River | PH | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110201_008 | Mulberry River | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110201_012 | Little Mulberry | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110201_912 | Friley Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110202_013 | Illinois Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3H | AR_11110202_4050 | Horsehead Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_001 | Poteau River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_033 | James Fork | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_034 | Sugarloaf Creek | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_035 | Prairie Creek | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_036 | Cherokee Creek | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 3I | AR_11110105_731 | Poteau River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_831 | Unnamed Tributary - to Poteau | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_831 | Unnamed Tributary - to Poteau | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3I | AR_11110105_925 | Briery Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_020 | Illinois River | SULFATE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_024 | Illinois River | SULFATE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_024 | Illinois River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_026 | Moore Creek | SULFATE | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_026 | Moore Creek | PATHOGENS | 5A | PC | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_027 | Muddy Fork | SULFATE | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_027 | Muddy Fork | PATHOGENS | 5A | PC | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_028 | Illinois River | ESCHERICHIA COLI (E. COLI) | 5 | PC | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_028 | Illinois River | PATHOGENS | 5A | PC | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_4080 | Fayetteville Lake | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_630 | Little Osage Creek | PATHOGENS | 5A | PC | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_630 | Little Osage Creek | ESCHERICHIA COLI (E. COLI) | 5 | PC | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_733 | Unnamed Tributary to Brush | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_813 | Baron Fork | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_932 | Sager Creek | AMMONIA-NITROGEN - CHRONIC EARLY LIFE STAGE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_933 | Little Osage Creek | ESCHERICHIA COLI (E. COLI) | 5 | PC, ORW | Concur |
| 3J | AR_11110103_933 | Little Osage Creek | PATHOGENS | 5A | PC | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020303_005 | White River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020303_005 | White River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020303_014 | Boat Gunwale Slash | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020303_014 | Boat Gunwale Slash | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020303_914 | Boat Gunwale Slash | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 4A | AR_08020304_010 | Big Creek | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020304_010 | Big Creek | CHLORIDE | 5 | DW | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020304_014 | Prairie Cypress | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020304_014 | Prairie Cypress | COPPER - ACUTE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020304_014 | Prairie Cypress | COPPER - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4A | AR_08020304_014 | Prairie Cypress | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_002 | Bayou DeView | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_004 | Bayou DeView | SULFATE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_004 | Bayou DeView | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_005 | Bayou DeView | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_005 | Bayou DeView | SULFATE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_006 | Bayou DeView | SULFATE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_006 | Bayou DeView | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_007 | Bayou DeView | SULFATE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_007 | Bayou DeView | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_011 | Flag Slough | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_012 | Cow Ditch | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_014 | Buffalo Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_016 | Cache River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_016 | Cache River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_018 | Cache River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_030 | Swan Ditch | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_038 | Little Cache | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_041 | Cache River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_055 | Locust Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 4B | AR_08020302_4020 | Lake Frierson | COPPER | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_901 | Unnamed Trib to Cache River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_901 | Unnamed Trib to Cache River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_903 | Caney Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_909 | Lost Creek Ditch | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_921 | West Cache River Slough | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_937 | East Slough | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4B | AR_08020302_937 | East Slough | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_006 | Village Cr | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_007 | Village Cr | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_008 | Village Cr | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_017 | White River | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_020 | Departee Creek | ZINC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_020 | Departee Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_021 | Glaise Creek | ZINC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4C | AR_11010013_021 | Glaise Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_006 | Bayou Des Arc | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_006 | Bayou Des Arc | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_007 | Bayou Des Arc | LEAD, DISSOLVED - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_009 | Bull Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_009 | Bull Creek | ZINC - ACUTE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_009 | Bull Creek | ZINC - CHRONIC | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_010 | Cypress Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_010 | Cypress Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4D | AR_08020301_015 | Wattensaw Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4E | AR_11010014_007 | Little Red R. | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4E | AR_11010014_036 | South Fork | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 4E | AR_11010014_037 | Archey Creek | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4E | AR_11010014_038 | South Fork | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4E | AR_11010014_040 | South Fork | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 4E | AR_11010014_940 | South Fork Little Red River | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4F | AR_11010004_017 | Greenbrier Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4F | AR_11010004_017 | Greenbrier Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4F | AR_11010004_915 | Big Creek | PH | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010008_001 | Current River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010009_005 | Black River | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010009_005 | Black River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010009_008 | Fourche River | TURBIDITY | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010012_002 | Strawberry R. | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010012_003 | Coopers Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010012_006 | Strawberry R. | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010012_007 | N. Big Creek | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010012_013 | South Big Creek | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010012_014 | Reeds Creek | TEMPERATURE | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4G | AR_11010012_806 | Clayton Creek | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4H | AR_11010010_003 | Spring River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4H | AR_11010010_006 | Spring River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4H | AR_11010010_009 | English Creek | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 4H | AR_11010010_012 | S. Fork Spring | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 4H | AR_11010010_906 | Gut Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4H | AR_11010011_001 | Eleven Point | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4J | AR_11010005_004 | Buffalo River | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_023 | White River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_024 | West Fork | SULFATE | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 4K | AR_11010001_024 | West Fork | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_024 | West Fork | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_024 | West Fork | TEMPERATURE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_026 | Middle Fork, White R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_027 | White River | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_037 | Kings River | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | AL+ | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_060 | War Eagle Cr. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_4040 | Beaver Lake | PATHOGENS | 5A | PC | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_4040 | Beaver Lake | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5A | OU | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_4041 | Beaver Lake | PATHOGENS | 5A | PC, SC | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_4041 | Beaver Lake | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5A | OU | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_4041 | Beaver Lake | TURBIDITY - STORM FLOWS | 5A | OU | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_442 | Kings River | PH | 5 | OU, ORW | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_542 | Kings River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_624 | West Fork White River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_624 | West Fork White River | SULFATE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_823 | White River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_824 | Town Branch | TURBIDITY - BASE FLOWS | 5 | OU | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_834 | War Eagle Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_916 | Leatherwood Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_926 | Middle Fork | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 4K | AR_11010001_959 | Town Branch bl. WWTP | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | DW, A&J | Concur |
| 5A | AR_08020203_008 | St. Francis R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 5A | AR_08020203_008 | St. Francis R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 5A | AR_08020203_009 | St. Francis R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |

| Planning Segment | Assessment Unit | Waterbody Name | Parameter | Category | Designated Use Not Supported | Conclusion |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| 5A | AR_08020203_009 | St. Francis R. | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL, ORW | Concur |
| 5A | AR_08020203_906 | Ten Mile Bayou | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_001 | L'Anguille R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_001 | L'Anguille R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_002 | L'Anguille R. | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_002 | L'Anguille R. | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_002 | L'Anguille R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_003 | L'Anguille R. | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_003 | L'Anguille R. | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_003 | L'Anguille R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_004 | L'Anguille R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_004 | L'Anguille R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_005 | L'Anguille R. | SULFATE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_005 | L'Anguille R. | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_005 | L'Anguille R. | CHLORIDE | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_005 | L'Anguille R. | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_007 | First Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_008 | Second Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - PRIMARY | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_008 | Second Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN - CRITICAL | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_901 | Caney Creek | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5B | AR_08020205_902 | Prairie Creek | TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) | 5 | DW, A&I | Concur |
| 5C | AR_08020204_001 | Little River Left | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |
| 5C | AR_08020204_002 | Little River | DISSOLVED OXYGEN | 5 | AL | Concur |

| Key for Table 2 | |
|-----------------|--|
| OU | Other Uses |
| AL | Aquatic Life |
| ORW | Outstanding Resource Waterbody |
| DW | Drinking Water |
| PC | Primary Contact |
| A&I | Agriculture and Industry |
| FC | Fish Consumption (not a Designated Use as defined by Rule 2) |
| SC | Secondary Contact |
| + | Biological data indicates uses being met |
| Concur | Water quality limited segments for which EPA agrees with the State |

Table 3. Summary of Specific Waterbodies for which More Information was Identified or is Requested

| Planning Segment | Waterbody Name | Assessment Unit | 2018 Parameter | 2018 Action | 2020 Summary of Findings | 2020 Action |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|---|-------------|
| 2C | Lake Cox Creek | AR_08040203_4110 | Unknown | Deferred | Will be assessed for the 2022 cycle | Defer |
| 2F | Lake Ouachita | AR_08040101_4060 | Mercury in Fish | Deferred | A taskforce has been assembled | Defer |
| | | AR_08040101_4061 | | | | |
| | | AR_08040101_4062 | | | | |
| | | AR_08040101_4063 | | | | |
| 4A | Lake Greenlee | AR_08020304_4060 | Unknown | Deferred | Will be assessed for the 2022 cycle | Defer |
| 4H | South Fork Spring River | AR_11010010_012 | Dissolved Oxygen | Deferred | Listed for critical season dissolved oxygen | Concur |
| 5A | Poinsette Lake | AR_08020203_4040 | Unknown | Deferred | Scheduled for sampling in FY23 | Defer |